nature geoscience

NO2 satellite retrievals biased by absorption in water

Received: 30 October 2023

Accepted: 23 August 2024

Published online: 20 September 2024

Check for updates

Lev D. Labzovskii ¹ , Jos van Geffen ¹ , Mengyao Liu1 , Ronald van der A1 , $\,$ Jos de Laat¹, Benjamin Leune $\mathbf{0}^1$, Henk Eskes $\mathbf{0}^1$, Xiaojuan Lin $\mathbf{0}^1$, Jieying Ding $\mathbf{0}^1$ **& Andreas Richter  ²**

arising from: Kong et al. *Nature Geoscience* **(2023) [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-023-01200-8) [s41561-023-01200-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-023-01200-8) (2023)**

Localized tropospheric nitrogen oxides ($NO_x = NO + NO₂$) are mostly formed from emission sources, such as large cities^{[1](#page-3-0)}, mineral mining sites^{[2](#page-3-1)}, busy transportation routes^{[3](#page-3-2)}, fuel delivery infrastructure^{[4](#page-3-3)} and wildfires $^{\rm 5}$ $^{\rm 5}$ $^{\rm 5}$. Kong et al. $^{\rm 6}$ recently reported anomalous tropospheric NO $_2$ columns from spaceborne remote sensing observations of the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) over Tibetan Plateau lakes and attributed them to megacity-scale emissions from these lakes. Here we report serious anomalies in the $NO₂$ retrievals over most of these lakes, possibly due to absorption in the water, which may have biased the $NO₂$ retrieval results. Without addressing this potential absorption, it is premature to attribute any anomalies in tropospheric $NO₂$ to emissions from Tibetan lakes, let alone estimate their magnitude.

We note that Kong et al.^{[6](#page-3-5)}, in their investigation, relied on observing strong tropospheric NO₂ column increases compared to background levels, using official TROPOMI operational $(v2.4)^7$ $(v2.4)^7$ and TROPOMI POMINO (v1.1) data^{8[,9](#page-3-8)} for the three summer months of 2019. Although their findings may seem groundbreaking, strong aquatic nitrogen emissions have never been reported, despite a plethora of satellite NO₂-monitoring studies. In fact, Kong et al. \degree were unable to confirm the presence of such emissions using reference observations and to constrain them. They solely relied on vertical $NO₂$ columnar information from spaceborne differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS). Moreover, $NO₂$ is retrieved at wavelengths of 430-460 nm, precisely where the absorption of components in natural waters, such as chlorophyll, occurs—the phenomenon extensively exploited by the ocean colour research community $10,11$ $10,11$. Such absorption, if unaccounted for, can bias $NO₂$ atmospheric retrievals, as the reverse– $NO₂$ biasing ocean colour retrievals—is well established by the ocean colour research community. With these considerations in mind, we examined the spectral characteristics of vertical column density (VCD) TROPOMI retrieval over the Tibetan lakes and the data Kong et al.⁶ used to report their extraordinary claim about previously undetected nitrogenic aquatic emissions of megacity scale from the Tibetan lakes.

The TROPOMI tropospheric $NO₂ VCD$ retrieval consists of three steps: (1) the total $NO₂$ total slant column density (SCD) is determined using the DOAS approach on TROPOMI spectra; (2) the tropospheric $SCD (SCD_{trop})$ is then obtained by subtracting the stratospheric SCD from the total SCD, which is (3) ultimately converted to a VCD using air mass factors (AMFs) that depend on several parameters, including surface albedo^{[7,](#page-3-6)[12,](#page-3-11)13}. Kong et al.⁶ used two different TROPOMI products that have the same SCD_{trop} , and tested the sensitivity of albedos for their AMF calculation during POMINO retrieval (July 2019), thereby evaluating the sensitivity of the VCD to retrieval algorithm input variables. However, if the SCD contained in either product from the operational retrieval had any direct issues, the resultant biases would propagate into the final tropospheric $NO₂$ signal over the lakes, regardless of the choice of TROPOMI VCD product or the albedo dataset.

We analysed pixels inside and outside the large Tibetan lake (Siling Co), representing 'water' and 'land' retrievals, respectively. We selected two 'land' and 'water' pixels, outside and at the centre of the lake, from 5 June 2019 (Fig. [1a,b\)](#page-1-0). The water pixel has a higher geometric $NO₂$ column density (GCD) than the land pixel (where GCD is the total SCD divided by the geometric AMF, which accounts only for the viewing geometry): 75.67 µmol m−2, compared with 70.55 µmol m−2 (difference of ~7%; Fig. [1a\)](#page-1-0). This is the same magnitude as the estimate of the GCD precision. As the albedo of the lake (0.078) is lower than the bordering land (0.118), the AMF will be smaller. Therefore, after subtraction of the stratospheric background the difference is amplified in the vertical tropospheric $NO₂$ column (19.04 ± 8.47 µmol m⁻² versus 10.37 ± 5.94 µmol m⁻²; ~84% difference). The DOAS NO₂ SCD fit includes other relevant absorbers¹¹ in the 405–465-nm fit window: O_3 , water vapour, liquid water and O_2-O_2 . The fit is optimized for $NO₂$, so the other fit coefficients are much less accurate, although reasonable values should be expected. Although the water vapour amount over land $(2.41 \pm 1.81 \times 10^2 \,\text{mol m}^{-2})$ is plausible and positive (Fig. [1b\)](#page-1-0), the water vapour amount over the lake is strongly negative, exceeding its precision $(-17.83 \pm 3.01 \times 10^2 \text{ mol m}^{-2})$. Such a substantial and unphysical negative water vapour amount clearly indicates a problematic DOAS fit over the lake.

The DOAS fit residual over land (Fig. [1c\)](#page-1-0) shows no clear spectrally correlated variations. However, the fit residual over the Tibetan

¹R&D Satellite and Observations Group, Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI), De Bilt, the Netherlands. ²Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany. ⊠e-mail: labzowsky@gmail.com

Fig. 1 | a-d, TROPOMI NO₂ total GCD (a) and water vapour (b) fit coefficients **(b) over the lake Siling Co with quality flag >0.75 (high quality, cloud-free data), and fit residuals of the two pixels marked in a and b over land (c) and water (d).** Pixel centre longitude and latitude are 88.458° E, 31.904° N (land) and 89.095° E, 31.811° N (water). Data are taken from TROPOMI orbit 08511 of 5 June

2019. Standard S5P/TROPOMI level-2 $NO₂ (L2_NO2)$ data are freely available via the Copernicus Data Space Ecosystem (CDSE, [https://dataspace.copernicus.](https://dataspace.copernicus.eu/) [eu/\)](https://dataspace.copernicus.eu/). Detailed analysis data, such as fit residuals, are not available in the regular TROPOMI NO2 data files but are available upon request (see Data availability statement).

Table 1 | Analysis of fit residuals of ground pixels from orbit 08511 of 5 June 2019 over Tibetan lakes and lakes outside Tibet where residuals and negative water-vapour coefficients were identified

Tibetan lakes are shown in regular font and lakes outside Tibet in bold font. The top ten lakes of Kong et al.⁶ are the first ten listed.

lakes (Fig. [1d\)](#page-1-0) exhibits a relatively low-frequency variability in the 430–460-nm radiance range not accounted for in the DOAS fit. Consequently, the fit coefficients are unphysically altered, affecting the water-vapour and, most crucially, potentially impacting the $NO₂ SCD$.

The NO₂ atmospheric anomalies, which Kong et al.⁶ attributed to nitrogenic aquatic emissions, would be affected both seasonally and in magnitude by this effect, which would be exacerbated by the albedo difference aspect mentioned above.

Fig. 2 | a, Map of the water-vapour fit coefficient from the TROPOMI NO₂ **retrieval for several lakes in Asia. b**, Fit residuals for land pixels (left column) near and water pixels (right column) over Lake Nam in Tibet (top row) and Lake Issyk Kul in Kyrgyzstan (bottom row), which can be compared to Fig. [1c,d](#page-1-0) for Lake Siling. Data are taken from TROPOMI orbit 08511 of 5 June 2019. Standard S5P/

TROPOMI level-2 NO₂ (L2 NO2) data are freely available via the CDSE ([https://](https://dataspace.copernicus.eu/) [dataspace.copernicus.eu/\)](https://dataspace.copernicus.eu/). Detailed analysis data, such as fit residuals, are not available in the regular TROPOMI NO₂ data files but are available upon request (see Data availability statement).

Besides the Siling Co detailed example, we briefly analysed 20 other large lakes, including the top ten from Kong et al.⁶ and several other lakes in Asia outside Tibet, for 5 June 2019 (Table [1](#page-1-1)). Interestingly, similar low-frequency features, not accounted for in the DOAS fit, were found over 13 lakes in Tibet and other major Asian lakes, such as Issyk Kul (Kyrgyzstan). Three Mongolian lakes (Unys Nuur, Khyargas Nuur and Khar-Us) we analysed did not exhibit these spectral artefacts. For the other lakes in Table [1](#page-1-1), either no pixels were available (Qinghai, Ngoring) or the scenes were plagued by snow/ice presence (Lumajangdong, Migriggyangzham). As with Siling Co, many pixels over the lakes from Kong et al.⁶ included in Table [1](#page-1-1) exhibited unphysically negative water-vapour coefficients. These unphysical negative water-vapour coefficients over the lakes of interest are illustrated on the map in Fig. [2a](#page-2-0) and are quantified for Lake Nam (Tibet) and Issyk Kul (Fig. [2b\)](#page-2-0) water

pixels. Obviously, this unaccounted for low-frequency variability in the 430–460-nm range is the same as for Siling Co. The widespread occurrence of such spectral artefacts in TROPOMI data over different lakes in Asia indicates that the unresolved spectral features over water might be a systematic problem that had remained unaccounted for in previous TROPOMI-based works over Tibetan lakes, as in the Kong et al.⁶ study.

We demonstrate clear evidence of unresolved spectral features in the TROPOMI VCD NO₂ retrieval in the 430-460-nm range over water bodies of Tibetan lakes that explain ~7% of the observed increase in the retrieved $NO₂ SCD$ for one of the largest Tibetan lakes-Siling Co. Spectral features were found over most lakes (more than ten cases), from Kong et al.^{[6](#page-3-5)} in Tibet and in some other large lakes in Asia (like Issyk Kul) for a single orbit in June 2019. The apparent enhancement in tropospheric NO₂ is limited to the pixels over water, without signatures

of NO₂ plumes, suggesting that major emissions into the atmosphere are unlikely. The bias-driving spectral feature was observed precisely at the interval of water-component absorption, where correcting for NO₂ spectral absorption has become standard practice in retrievals of ocean colour¹⁴. Without considering this absorption interplay, it is not possible to attribute any anomalies in $NO₂$ to emission processes occurring over Tibetan lakes, let alone estimate their magnitude. The existing TROPOMI quality flag should be updated by incorporating the information from water-vapour fit coefficients and by including an additional statistical test on fit residuals to label potential retrieval issues.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Portfolio reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-024-01545-8.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-024-01545-8)

References

- 1. Beirle, S., Boersma, K. F., Platt, U., Lawrence, M. G. & Wagner, T. Megacity emissions and lifetimes of nitrogen oxides probed from space. *Science* **333**, 1737–1739 (2011).
- Labzovskii, L. D., Belikov, D. A. & Damiani, A. Spaceborne NO₂ observations are sensitive to coal mining and processing in the largest coal basin of Russia. *Sci. Rep.* **12**, 12597 (2022).
- 3. Georgoulias, A. K. et al. Detection of NO₂ pollution plumes from individual ships with the TROPOMI/S5P satellite sensor. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **15**, 124037 (2020).
- 4. Van der A, R. J., de Laat, A. T. J., Ding, J. & Eskes, H. J. Connecting the dots: NO_x emissions along a West Siberian natural gas pipeline. *Npj Clim. Atmos. Sci.* **3**, 16 (2020).
- 5. Mebust, A. K., Russell, A. R., Hudman, R. C., Valin, L. C. & Cohen, R. C. Characterization of wildfire NO_x emissions using MODIS fire radiative power and OMI tropospheric $NO₂$ columns, Atmos. *Chem. Phys.* **11**, 5839–5851 (2011).
- 6. Kong, H. et al. High natural nitric oxide emissions from lakes on Tibetan Plateau under rapid warming. *Nat. Geosci.* **16**, 474–477 (2023).
- 7. Van Gefen, J. H. G. M., Eskes, H. J., Boersma, K. F. & Veefkind, J. P. CI-7430-ATBD 'Atmospheric Processor Release 2.4.0' S5P-KNMI-L2-0005-RP, issue 2.4.0, released 2022-07-11 (ESA, 2022).
- 8. Liu, M. et al. A new TROPOMI product for tropospheric $NO₂$ columns over East Asia with explicit aerosol corrections. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **13**, 4247–4259 (2020).
- 9. Zhang, Y.-H. et al. High-resolution tropospheric NO₂ retrieval over Asia based on OMI POMINO v2.1 and quantitative comparison with other products (in Chinese). *Natl Remote Sens. Bull* **26**, 971–987 (2022).
- 10. Taniguchi, M. & Lindsey, J. S. Absorption and fluorescence spectral database of chlorophylls and analogues. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **97**, 136–165 (2021).
- 11. Joiner, J. et al. Use of machine learning and principal component analysis to retrieve nitrogen dioxide ($NO₂$) with hyperspectral imagers and reduce noise in spectral fitting. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **16**, 481–500 (2023).
- 12. van Geffen, J. et al. S5P TROPOMI $NO₂$ slant column retrieval: method, stability, uncertainties and comparisons with OMI. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **13**, 1315–1335 (2020).
- 13. van Gefen, J. et al. Sentinel-5P TROPOMI NO2 retrieval: impact of version v2.2 improvements and comparisons with OMI and ground-based data. *Atmos. Meas. Techn.* **15**, 2037–2060 (2022).
- 14. International Ocean-Colour Coordinating Group. Reports and Monographs. Report No. 12, IOCCG, Dartmouth, Canada (IOCCG, 2012); https://www.ioccg.org/reports/IOCCG_Report_12.pdf

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afiliations.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2024

Data availability

Standard S5P/TROPOMI level-2 NO2 (L2_NO2__) data are freely available via the Copernicus Data Space Ecosystem [\(https://dataspace.copernicus.eu/\)](https://dataspace.copernicus.eu/). Detailed analysis data, such as fit residuals, are not available in the regular TROPOMI NO₂ data files but are available upon request. Data used for the analysis are available upon request at this stage of the submission. No restriction on data availability applies to the data we used.

Code availability

Codes used for conducting our study are available upon request.

Acknowledgements

Sentinel-5 Precursor is a European Space Agency (ESA) mission on behalf of the European Commission. The TROPOMI payload is a joint development by ESA and the Netherlands Space Ofice (NSO). The Sentinel-5 Precursor ground-segment development has been funded by ESA, with national contributions from The Netherlands, Germany and Belgium. The main author thanks S. Victorov for long-term support in his academic career, enabling many scientific contributions, including this study, to be published.

Author contributions

L.D.L. developed the conceptual framework and carried out implementation, data analysis, plotting and writing. R.v.d.A. devised the original idea for the manuscript, and contributed to writing, editing and supervising. J.v.G. performed data analysis, writing, editing and plotting. J.d.L. carried out conceptual framework development, writing and editing. M.L. provided methodological support and editing. H.E., X.L. and J.D. carried out editing. B.L. performed sensitivity experimentation. A.R. carried out editing and plotting.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Lev D. Labzovskii.

Peer review information *Nature Geoscience* thanks Chris McLinden and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.